

# List of Tables

1	Primary Personnel and Fields of Study in U.S. Universities	7
1.1	Typical Analyses of Sewage Effluents After Conventional Primary and Secondary Treatment	19
1.2	Composition of Secondary Treated Municipal Wastewater Effluents and Irrigation Water	20
2.1	Wastes from a Textile Mill	28
3.1	Cost Comparison of Various Alkaline Agents <sup>a</sup>	38
5.1	Rectangular Primary Settling-Tank Data	60
5.2	Circular Primary Tanks: Long-Term Performance Data <sup>a</sup>	62
5.3	Typical Efficiencies of Dissolved-Gas Flotation Treatment of Wastes	69
5.4	Results Obtained on Humus Tank Effluent at Eastern Sewage Works, London, England, December 30, 1966–January 13, 1967	75
6.1	Types and Characteristics of Colloidal Solids	80
6.2	Valence and Coagulant Dosage	84
6.3	Properties of Coal-Derived Granular Carbon for Waste Treatment	86
7.1	Typical Overall Coefficients in Evaporators	91
7.2	Elemental Composition of Green Algae	95
7.3	Occurrence of <i>Cyanophyceae</i> and <i>Chlorophyceae</i> in Massachusetts Lakes and Reservoirs	96
7.4	Refractory Containment Removal Techniques	100
8.1	Biological Degradation of Organic Constituents in Sewage	107
8.2	Materials Potentially Useful for Liners of Ponds Containing Hazardous Wastes	110
8.3	Summary of Disposal Systems	118
8.4	Summary of Disposal Systems	129
10.1	Industrial Contaminants and General Limiting Values for Discharge into Municipal Sewerage Systems <sup>a</sup>	187
10.2	Sewer Use Ordinance City of Palo Alto Regional Water Quality Control Plant (September 1995)	191
10.3	Maximum Allowable Discharge Limits for Wastewater	192
10.4	Allocation of Fixed Costs	194

10.5	Allocation of Operation and Maintenance Costs	195
10.6	Summary of Allocation of Fixed and Operating Cost	196
10.7	Calculation of Users' Charges Based on Three Factors	198
10.8	Cayadutta Creek Analyses in October 1964	201
10.9	Time of Flows from Station 5 Downstream Obtained 1 Week Before Stream-Sampling Program in October 1964	203
10.10	Summary of 7-Day Sampling of Cayadutta Creek in Dry Period, from 10/8/64 to 10/18/64	203
10.11	Minimum Flow Data of Measured Creek Compared with Cayadutta Creek	205
10.12	Normal Probability Distribution Analysis of Data (1927–1960)	206
10.13	Summary of Data Required from Cayadutta Creek Analyses in October 1964 for Churchill Method of Analysis	207
10.14	Churchill Analysis Applied to Cayadutta Creek Data	208
10.15	Summary of 24-Hour Sampling Results	209
10.16	Summary of 24-Hour Sampling Results	215
10.17	Composite Analysis (24 Hours) of Gloversville and Johnstown Wastewater	216
10.18	Summary of Total Loads for Treatment	218
10.19	Industrial Production During Sampling Days	220
10.20	Water Consumption Related to Production Percentage <sup>a</sup>	222
10.21	Industrial Waste Flow	224
10.22	Sludge Digestion (Laboratory Study) <sup>a</sup>	225
10.23	Activated-Sludge Pilot Laboratory Studies	226
10.24	Prototype Operating Data	230
10.25	Prototype Operating Results and Design Parameters	231
10.26	Inconsistencies Between Theory of Design and Actual Practice in Design	242
11.1	Cost of Hazardous Waste Disposal Practices	250
11.2	Hazardous Waste Industries	251
11.3	List of Hazardous Characteristics	252
11.4	RCRA-Regulated Hazardous Wastes	254
11.5	Typical Automotive Oil Waste Composition	255
11.6	Sources of Asbestos Wastes	269
11.7	Hazardous Waste Disposal in United States, circa 1980	275
11.8	Organic Chemical Treatment	276
11.9	Commercial Hazardous Waste Disposal Methods	276
11.10	Emerging Alternative Technologies, circa 1985	278
11.11	Incineration	285
11.12	Typical Industrial Laundry Wastewater Constituent Concentration	304
11.13	Some New Treatments for Hazardous Waste, Many Still on the Drawing Board	318
11.14	Water Quality Limits for Toxic Pollutants for Three Uses	328
11.15	Allowable Concentrations for Air Contaminants Resulting from Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Emissions (EPA)	334

16.1	Comparison of Real Production Costs (1995 Dollars) of Free Standing Fertilizer and Cement Plants with EBIC Costs (1995 Dollars) per Ton of Fertilizer	382
16.2	Real Production Costs <sup>a</sup> of Fertilizer and Cement Plants	391
16.3	Comparison of Classical Production Costs <sup>a</sup> of Free-Standing Fertilizer and Cement Plants with Real Production Costs <sup>a</sup> per Ton of Product	391
16.4	EBIC Costs in a Fertilizer <sup>a</sup> -Cement Industrial Complex	392
16.5	Comparison of Classical Production Costs <sup>a</sup> of Free-Standing Fertilizer and Cement Plants with EBIC Costs <sup>a</sup> per Ton of Product	392
16.6	Comparison of Real Production Costs <sup>a</sup> of Free Standing Fertilizer and Cement Plants with EBIC Costs <sup>a</sup> per Ton of Product	392
16.7	Comparison of Production Cost <sup>a</sup> of a Ton of EBIC Product (0.22 Ton Fertilizer + 0.78 Ton of Cement) and Equivalent Masses of Free-Standing Plant Products <sup>a</sup>	393
17.1	Raw Materials Consumed for Portland Cement in United States 3 (Thousands of Short Tons)	407
17.2	External Raw Materials and Manufactured Products in Three-Industry Complex (Stage 3)	417
17.3	World Production	421
17.4	Sugarcane Composition	421
17.5	Chemical and Physical Composition of Bagasse and Mudcake (4)	423
17.6	Composition of Ash from Cachaza and Bagasse [Dasgupta 1983]	425
17.7	Raw Material Balance as Part of Total Production Cost	433
18.1	Air Emissions from Model Coke Plants	447
18.2	Wood-Preserving Processes	451
18.3	Amount of Raw Materials Consumed for Production of Portland Cement	457
19.1	Annual Cost and Environmental Benefits of Successful Synergies	525
21.1	Summary of Cost Data of Four Plants	539
21.2	Pulp and Paper Industry Treatment Costs, Sales Revenues (1970), and Sales Indices (Computed)	542